



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/682,086	10/10/2003	David Steer	77682-213 /aba	9196
7380	7590	08/21/2006	EXAMINER MILORD, MARCEAU	
SMART & BIGGAR P.O. BOX 2999, STATION D 900-55 METCALFE STREET OTTAWA, ON K1P5Y6 CANADA			ART UNIT 2618	
DATE MAILED: 08/21/2006				

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary	Application No. 10/682,086	Applicant(s) DAV ID STEER ET AL	
	Examiner Marceau Milord	Art Unit 2618	

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 10 October 2003.
- 2a) ☐ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☒ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-33 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1-33 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☒ The drawing(s) filed on 10 October 2003 is/are: a) ☒ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- | | |
|---|---|
| 1) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) | 4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____ |
| 2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) | 5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) |
| 3) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____ | 6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____ |

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

2. Claims 1-8, 15-17, 19-21, are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Engelbrecht et al (US Patent No 6148219) in view of Tealdi et al (US Patent No 6952574 B2).

Regarding claims 1-6, Engelbrecht et al discloses a wireless device (figs. 2, figs. 7-8) comprising: a first antenna (2-10 of fig. 2) and a second antenna (2-20 of fig. 2; col. 2, lines 45-59; col. 5, lines 5-22); and a system for determining whether or not the wireless device is either inside a building or outside a building (col. 6, lines 31-65; col. 8, line 43- col. 9, line 34).

However, Engelbrecht et al does not specifically disclose the steps of determining whether or not the wireless device is either inside a building or outside a building; comprising a parameter adjuster for changing the operation of the wireless device based on whether or not the wireless device is either inside a building or outside a building; wherein, upon determining that the wireless device is inside a building, the parameter adjuster switches the wireless device to a

Art Unit: 2618

first set of radio system operation parameters; and upon determining that the wireless device is outside a building, the parameter adjuster switches to a second set of radio system operation parameters; wherein the parameter adjuster switches the wireless device to a third set of radio system operation parameters upon failing to adequately determine whether or not the wireless device is either inside or outside a building; wherein the parameter adjuster switches the wireless device to a more restrictive one of the first and second set of radio system operation parameters upon failing to adequately determine whether or not the wireless device is either inside or outside a building.

On the other hand, Tealdi et al, from the same field of endeavor, discloses a wireless communication system that employs a method and apparatus for automatically tracking locations of wireless communication devices in a geographic area, such as an ad hoc area of an emergency scene, that is divided into two or more zones. A wireless communication device or a host device determines a location of the wireless device. The wireless device's location is then associated with one of the zones. An indication of a zone change is presented to a user of the wireless device and/or the host device, as applicable, in the event that the wireless device's location reflects a transition of the device from one zone to another. Alternatively, each wireless device might be associated with a corresponding group, such as a fire department, and the zone change indication might include an identifier of the wireless device's group (figs. 6-7; col. 3, line 1- col. 4, line 65). Furthermore, the wireless device includes a knob or switch 502. Knob 502 when turned to a predetermined position, forces the wireless communication device into an automated tracking mode. Many wireless communication devices can be retrofitted to incorporate the location tracking capability by utilizing an existing knob or switch. Alternatively, an entirely

Art Unit: 2618

separate new switch can be dedicated to enable and disable the automatic location tracking feature. Voice recognition can also be implemented to train the wireless communication device to respond to a key word or command that automatically enables the location tracking technology. Thus, the user initiated input to automatically enable the location tracking mode can be a switch, a keypress, an audio input, or combinations thereof at the wireless communication device (col. 5, line 26- col. 6, line 64). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to apply the technique of Tealdi to the communication system of Engelbrecht in order to monitor or track locations of wireless communication devices in a geographic area that is divided into two or more zones or sub-areas.

Regarding claim 7, Engelbrecht et al as modified discloses a wireless device (figs. 2, figs. 7-8) further comprising first and second radio modules to which the respective first and second antennas are coupled (col. 9, lines 9-20).

Regarding claim 8, Engelbrecht et al as modified discloses a wireless device (figs. 2, figs. 7-8) wherein the first antenna is a transit link antenna and the second antenna is an access link antenna, and the first radio module is a transit link radio and the second radio module is an access link radio (col. 8, line 43- col. 9, line 19).

3. Claims 9-14, 18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Engelbrecht et al (US Patent No 6148219) in view of Tealdi et al (US Patent No 6952574 B2) as applied to claims 1-6 above, and further in view of Stilp et al (US Patent No 6463290 B1).

Regarding claims 9-14, 18, Engelbrecht and Tealdi disclose everything claimed as explained above except the features of a test signal test signal generator operable to transmit a test signal from the first antenna into the environment surrounding the wireless device and a

Art Unit: 2618

received test signal analyzer operable to analyze direct and/or reflected components of the test signal from the environment surrounding the wireless device; wherein each at least one radio signal propagation characteristic is selected from a group consisting of delay spread, delay speed, delay distance, attenuation profile, amplitude slope, polarization, and Doppler shift.

However, Stilp et al discloses a receiver module 10-2 that contains circuits to generate test frequencies and calibration signals, as well as test ports where measurements can be made by technicians during installation or troubleshooting. The extended location record includes a large number of measured parameters usefully for analyzing the instant and historical performance of the Wireless Location System. These parameters include: the RF channel used by the wireless transmitter, the antenna ports used by the Wireless Location System to demodulate the wireless transmission, the antenna ports from which the Wireless Location System requested RF data, the peak, average, and variance in power of the transmission over the interval used for location processing, the SCS 10 and antenna port chosen as the reference for location processing, the correlation value from the cross-spectra correlation between every other SCS 10 and antenna used in location processing and the reference SCS 10 and antenna, the delay value for each baseline, the multipath mitigation parameters, and the residual values remaining after the multipath mitigation calculations. Each test point is assigned a code (fig. 2L; col. 13, lines 25-50; col. 16, lines 5-29; col. 20, lines 26-47; col. 26, lines 17-39; col. 27, lines 1-24; col. 28, lines 10-51). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to apply the technique of Stilp to the modified system of Tealdi and Engelbrecht in order to minimize interference within the communications system and to maximize the potential capacity of the system.

Regarding claims 15-17, Engelbrecht et al discloses a method of assessing a location of a wireless device (figs. 2, figs. 7-8), the wireless device having a first antenna (2-10 of fig. 2) and a second antenna (2-20 of fig. 2), the method comprising: transmitting a test signal from the first antenna; receiving direct and/or reflected components of the test signal through the second antenna (2-20 of fig. 2; col. 2, lines 45-59; col. 5, lines 5-22); processing the direct and/or reflected components received through the second antenna to determine at least one prescribed radio signal propagation characteristic (col. 6, lines 31-65; col. 8, line 43- col. 9, line 34).

However, Engelbrecht et al does not specifically disclose the steps of determining whether or not the wireless device is either inside or outside a building based on the determination of the at least one radio signal propagation characteristic; selecting a first mode of operation upon determining that the wireless device is inside a building, and selecting a second mode of operation upon determining that the wireless device is outside a building; wherein upon failing to adequately determine whether or not the wireless device is inside or outside a building, a more restrictive one of the first and the second modes of operation is selected.

On the other hand, Tealdi et al, from the same field of endeavor, discloses a wireless communication system that employs a method and apparatus for automatically tracking locations of wireless communication devices in a geographic area, such as an ad hoc area of an emergency scene, that is divided into two or more zones. A wireless communication device or a host device determines a location of the wireless device. The wireless device's location is then associated with one of the zones. An indication of a zone change is presented to a user of the wireless device and/or the host device, as applicable, in the event that the wireless device's location reflects a transition of the device from one zone to another. Alternatively, each wireless device

Art Unit: 2618

might be associated with a corresponding group, such as a fire department, and the zone change indication might include an identifier of the wireless device's group (figs. 6-7; col. 3, line 1- col. 4, line 65). Furthermore, the wireless device includes a knob or switch 502. Knob 502 when turned to a predetermined position, forces the wireless communication device into an automated tracking mode. Many wireless communication devices can be retrofitted to incorporate the location tracking capability by utilizing an existing knob or switch. Alternatively, an entirely separate new switch can be dedicated to enable and disable the automatic location tracking feature. Voice recognition can also be implemented to train the wireless communication device to respond to a key word or command that automatically enables the location tracking technology. Thus, the user initiated input to automatically enable the location tracking mode can be a switch, a keypress, an audio input, or combinations thereof at the wireless communication device (col. 5, line 26- col. 6, line 64). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to apply the technique of Tealdi to the communication system of Engelbrecht in order to monitor or track locations of wireless communication devices in a geographic area that is divided into two or more zones or sub-areas.

Regarding claims 19-21, Engelbrecht et al discloses a method of selecting at least one radio system operation parameter for a wireless device having first (2-10 of fig. 2) and second antennas (2-20 of fig. 2; col. 2, lines 45-59; col. 5, lines 5-22), the method comprising: transmitting a test signal from the first antenna; receiving direct and/or reflected components of the test signal at the second antenna; and automatically selecting at least one radio system operation parameter for the wireless device in accordance with a radio propagation characteristic

Art Unit: 2618

derived from the direct and/or reflected components of the test signal received at the second antenna (col. 6, lines 31-65; col. 8, line 43- col. 9, line 34).

However, Engelbrecht et al does not specifically disclose the steps of automatically selecting at least one radio system operation parameter for the wireless device in accordance with a radio propagation characteristic derived from the direct and/or reflected components of the test signal received at the second antenna; selecting a radio system operation parameter based on a self-determination of whether or not the wireless device is located indoors or outdoors, wherein the wireless device makes use of a selected set of pre-selected radio system operation parameters.

On the other hand, Tealdi et al, from the same field of endeavor, discloses a wireless communication system that employs a method and apparatus for automatically tracking locations of wireless communication devices in a geographic area, such as an ad hoc area of an emergency scene, that is divided into two or more zones. A wireless communication device or a host device determines a location of the wireless device. The wireless device's location is then associated with one of the zones. An indication of a zone change is presented to a user of the wireless device and/or the host device, as applicable, in the event that the wireless device's location reflects a transition of the device from one zone to another. Alternatively, each wireless device might be associated with a corresponding group, such as a fire department, and the zone change indication might include an identifier of the wireless device's group (figs. 6-7; col. 3, line 1- col. 4, line 65). Furthermore, the wireless device includes a knob or switch 502. Knob 502 when turned to a predetermined position, forces the wireless communication device into an automated tracking mode. Many wireless communication devices can be retrofitted to incorporate the

Art Unit: 2618

location tracking capability by utilizing an existing knob or switch. Alternatively, an entirely separate new switch can be dedicated to enable and disable the automatic location tracking feature. Voice recognition can also be implemented to train the wireless communication device to respond to a key word or command that automatically enables the location tracking technology. Thus, the user initiated input to automatically enable the location tracking mode can be a switch, a keypress, an audio input, or combinations thereof at the wireless communication device (col. 5, line 26- col. 6, line 64). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to apply the technique of Tealdi to the communication system of Engelbrecht in order to monitor or track locations of wireless communication devices in a geographic area that is divided into two or more zones or sub-areas.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

Art Unit: 2618

5. Claims 22, 31-33 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Engelbrecht et al (US Patent No 6148219) in view of Tealdi et al (US Patent No 6952574 B2) and Stilp et al (US Patent No 6463290 B1).

Regarding claims 22, 31-33, Engelbrecht et al discloses a method of automatically selecting a mode of operation for a wireless device (figs. 2, figs. 7-8), the wireless device having a first antenna (2-10 of fig. 2) and a second antenna (2-20 of fig. 2; col. 2, lines 45-59; col. 5, lines 5-22), and wherein a particular mode of operation is selected from a plurality of modes of operation when the wireless device is located at a corresponding particular type of location, the method comprising: transmitting a test signal from the first antenna; receiving one or more reflections of the test signal through the second antenna; processing the one or more reflections received through the second antenna to determine at least one radio signal propagation characteristic (col. 6, lines 31-65; col. 8, line 43- col. 9, line 34).

However, Engelbrecht et al does not specifically disclose the steps of determining what type of location the wireless device is located in based on the determination of the at least one radio signal propagation characteristic; and selecting a mode of operation corresponding to the type of location that the wireless device is located in.

On the other hand, Tealdi et al, from the same field of endeavor, discloses a wireless communication system that employs a method and apparatus for automatically tracking locations of wireless communication devices in a geographic area, such as an ad hoc area of an emergency scene, that is divided into two or more zones. A wireless communication device or a host device determines a location of the wireless device. The wireless device's location is then associated with one of the zones. An indication of a zone change is presented to a user of the wireless

Art Unit: 2618

device and/or the host device, as applicable, in the event that the wireless device's location reflects a transition of the device from one zone to another. Alternatively, each wireless device might be associated with a corresponding group, such as a fire department, and the zone change indication might include an identifier of the wireless device's group (figs. 6-7; col. 3, line 1- col. 4, line 65). Furthermore, the wireless device includes a knob or switch 502. Knob 502 when turned to a predetermined position, forces the wireless communication device into an automated tracking mode. Many wireless communication devices can be retrofitted to incorporate the location tracking capability by utilizing an existing knob or switch. Alternatively, an entirely separate new switch can be dedicated to enable and disable the automatic location tracking feature. Voice recognition can also be implemented to train the wireless communication device to respond to a key word or command that automatically enables the location tracking technology. Thus, the user initiated input to automatically enable the location tracking mode can be a switch, a keypress, an audio input, or combinations thereof at the wireless communication device (col. 5, line 26- col. 6, line 64).

However, Stilp et al also discloses a receiver module 10-2 that contains circuits to generate test frequencies and calibration signals, as well as test ports where measurements can be made by technicians during installation or troubleshooting. The extended location record includes a large number of measured parameters usefully for analyzing the instant and historical performance of the Wireless Location System. These parameters include: the RF channel used by the wireless transmitter, the antenna ports used by the Wireless Location System to demodulate the wireless transmission, the antenna ports from which the Wireless Location System requested RF data, the peak, average, and variance in power of the transmission over the interval used for

Art Unit: 2618

location processing, the SCS 10 and antenna port chosen as the reference for location processing, the correlation value from the cross-spectra correlation between every other SCS 10 and antenna used in location processing and the reference SCS 10 and antenna, the delay value for each baseline, the multipath mitigation parameters, and the residual values remaining after the multipath mitigation calculations. Each test point is assigned a code (fig. 2L; col. 13, lines 25-50; col. 16, lines 5-29; col. 20, lines 26-47; col. 26, lines 17-39; col. 27, lines 1-24; col. 28, lines 10-51). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to apply the technique of Stilp to the modified system of Tealdi and Engelbrecht in order to minimize interference within the communications system and to maximize the potential capacity of the system.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

6. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

7. Claims 23-24 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Engelbrecht et al (US Patent No 6148219).

Regarding claim 23, Engelbrecht et al discloses a wireless device (figs. 2, figs. 7-8) comprising: a first antenna (2-10 of fig. 2) and a second antenna (2-20 of fig. 2; col. 2, lines 45-

Art Unit: 2618

59;col. 5, lines 5-22); and a system for automatically determining status of the antenna to differentiate between at least two status criteria (col. 6, lines 31-65; col. 8, line 43- col. 9, line 34).

Regarding claim 24, Engelbrecht et al discloses a wireless device (figs. 2, figs. 7-8) wherein the wireless device is a hybrid radio node, the first antenna is an access link antenna and the second antenna is a transit link antenna (col. 5, lines 5-21; col. 9, lines 10-19).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

8. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

9. Claims 26-30 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Engelbrecht et al (US Patent No 6148219) in view of Stilp et al (US Patent No 6463290 B1).

Regarding claims 25-30, Engelbrecht et al discloses everything claimed above, except the features of a test signal generator operable to transmit a test signal to at least one of said access or transit link radio antennas, further operable to select an appropriate one of the at least two status criteria in accordance with an assessment of predetermined criteria associated with the

Art Unit: 2618

received test signal, wherein the test signal generator is operable to transmit the test signal from the access link antenna to the transit link antenna.

However, Stilp et al discloses a receiver module 10-2 that contains circuits to generate test frequencies and calibration signals, as well as test ports where measurements can be made by technicians during installation or troubleshooting. The extended location record includes a large number of measured parameters usefully for analyzing the instant and historical performance of the Wireless Location System. These parameters include: the RF channel used by the wireless transmitter, the antenna ports used by the Wireless Location System to demodulate the wireless transmission, the antenna ports from which the Wireless Location System requested RF data, the peak, average, and variance in power of the transmission over the interval used for location processing, the SCS 10 and antenna port chosen as the reference for location processing, the correlation value from the cross-spectra correlation between every other SCS 10 and antenna used in location processing and the reference SCS 10 and antenna, the delay value for each baseline, the multipath mitigation parameters, and the residual values remaining after the multipath mitigation calculations. Each test point is assigned a code (fig. 2L; col. 13, lines 25-50; col. 16, lines 5-29; col. 20, lines 26-47; col. 26, lines 17-39; col. 27, lines 1-24; col. 28, lines 10-51). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to apply the technique of Stilp to the communication system of Engelbrecht in order to minimize interference within the communications system and to maximize the potential capacity of the system.

Art Unit: 2618

Conclusion

10. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.


Richton discloses a wireless telecommunications system that uses location or position information of a wireless mobile unit to initiate the sending of location-specific information to travelers.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Marceau Milord whose telephone number is 571-272-7853. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Matthew D. Anderson can be reached on 571-272-4177. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

MARCEAU MILORD


MARCEAU MILORD
PRIMARY EXAMINER

Marceau Milord

Application/Control Number: 10/682,086

Page 16

Art Unit: 2618

Primary Examiner

Art Unit 2618